3D evolution of flux-rope CMEs from the Sun to 1 AU

<u>Alexey Isavnin</u>¹, Sebastian Käki¹, Angelos Vourlidas^{2,3}, Emilia Kilpua¹

¹University of Helsinki, Finland ²Naval Research Laboratory, USA ³John Hopkins University, USA

Göttingen, 21 May 2015

Outline

- <u>Motivation</u>: background and importance for space weather
- <u>3D evolution</u>: components and assumptions
- <u>Analysis</u>: techniques and their combination
- Evolution of average-speed CMEs
- Evolution of slow and fast CMEs
- Potential errors and future prospectives

Motivation

Deflections can cause central (limb) CMEs to miss (hit) the Earth.

EIT/284 2003/11/20 08:06 1000 500 Y (arcsecs) 0 -500 -1000 $\mathbf{d}\phi = 17^{\circ}$ -1000 -500 0 500 1000 X (arcsecs)

PFSS Extrapolation



Gopalswamy et al., 2009

Motivation

The solar source distribution of geoeffective CMEs has <u>East-West</u> <u>assymetry</u> (E40-W75) \rightarrow statistical proof of longitudinal deflection and a hint about its dependence on Parker spiral _{Wang et al., 2002}



Motivation

<u>Rotations</u> can change B_Z at 1 AU.



20100616_121030

Vourlidas et al., 2011

3D evolution of CMEs

Components of 3D evolution:

- Longitudinal and latitudinal deflections
- Rotation
- Expansion
- Acceleration/deceleration
- Front flattening
- «Pancaking» due to radial expansion
- Skewing due to solar rotation
- Local deformations due to differential structure of ambient solar wind

3D evolution of CMEs: zero approximation

Components of 3D evolution:

- Longitudinal and latitudinal deflections
- Rotation
- Expansion
- Acceleration/deceleration
- Front flattening
- «Pancaking» due to radial expansion
- Skewing due to solar rotation
- Local deformations due to differential structure of ambient solar wind

Assumptions

- CME has a flux rope inside (FR-CME)
- FR-CME geometry is described by GCS model



Thernisien et al., 2009

Combining various observations



heliospheric imaging

in-situ observations

coronagraph observations

EUV observations

Combining various techniques



Deflections: kinematic description



Magnetic interaction with the Parker-spiral-structured solar wind

Deflections: kinematic description



Combining various techniques



Deflections toward equatorial plane



Flux rope global axis direction during its travel from the Sun to 1 AU

Rotation relative to HCS



Flux rope orientation superimposed on velocity (top) and magnetic energy density (bottom) maps at 1 AU for two events

Deflections and rotations



Isavnin *et al.*, 2014

Deflections and rotations

- Flux ropes continuously deflect towards the solar equatorial plane during their travel from the Sun to 1 AU.
- Flux ropes rotate while getting approximately aligned with heliospheric current sheet.
- 60% of flux evolution happens during the first 14% of their travel distance from the Sun to 1 AU.
- The studied events are planned to be used in VarSITI project for evaluation of CME propagation simulations.

...average-speed CMEs. And what about very slow and very fast ones?

Evolution of slow and fast CMEs

<u>Slow</u>

28 February 2010

- V_r = 300 km/s in the lower corona
- a = 5.9 m/s² at 20 R_{S}
- $V_r = 355 \text{ km/s at } 1 \text{ AU}$



<u>Fast</u>

1 October 2011

- V_r = 1238 km/s in the lower corona
- a = -10.1 m/s^2 at 20 R_s
- $V_r = 683 \text{ km/s} \text{ at } 1 \text{ AU}$



Longitudinal deflection



270

Deflections and rotations



Deflections and rotations

- The <u>fast</u> CME experienced <u>most of deflection</u> (75% longitudinal, 94% latitudinal) <u>in the lower corona</u>.
- <u>Both fast and slow</u> CMEs experienced <u>the majority of rotation</u> (92%) <u>in the lower corona</u> and got approximately aligned with HCS.

Potential errors

- Not all evolution components are treated: too simple model
- High-pressure interaction regions between GCS-modelled structure and ambient solar wind are treated as solid walls
- Separate model for magnetic field: combination of different models sums the errors
- Other analysis errors: non-polarized images, fitting errors, *etc.*

But it is possible to eliminate or reduce most of the errors!

A way to go

- Fully 3D FR model with magnetic field included, capable of most global FR deformations
- Same model to be fitted to all observations: less assumptions, more consistency
- Forecasting capability
- ...a work in progress